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Please read the information below carefully

This presentation (the 'Presentation') was prepared by Arctic Paper S.A. (the 'Company') for information purposes only for use by investors, banks and the Company's customers as well as market analysts and under no circumstances may it be treated as a part of an invitation or offer to purchase securities, an invitation to make investments or conduct transactions regarding securities, an inducement to make purchase offers or a recommendation to conclude any transactions, in particular transactions regarding the Company's securities.
The information contained in the Presentation was taken from publicly available sources which the Company believes to be reliable. However, the Company cannot guarantee its reliability or completeness, except for the information on the Company and its Group. The Company shall not be held liable for the consequences of decisions made on the basis of or in reliance on the information contained in this Presentation. The information contained in the Presentation has not been independently verified and may be subject to change at any time. The publication of the data contained in the Presentation by the Company does not constitute a breach of the provisions of the law which apply to companies whose shares are listed on the regulated market, in particular the regulated market operated by the Warsaw Stock Exchange. The information contained herein has been published by the Company in its current and periodic reports, or constitutes a supplement thereto which is not required to be published by the Company in order to comply with the Company's reporting requirements as a listed company.

Under no circumstances should information contained in this Presentation be construed as the explicit or implicit submission of a representation or warranty of any type by the Company or by persons acting on its behalf. Furthermore, neither the Company nor any person acting on its behalf shall be held liable in any respect for any damage which may arise as a result of negligence or for any other cause as a result of the use of this Presentation or any information contained in it, or for damage which may othervise arise in connection with information constituting a part of this Presentation.

The Company is not obliged to publish updates and amendments to the information, data and representations contained in this Presentation as a result of a change of the Company's strategy or intentions or the appearance of unexpected facts or circumstances which affect such strategy or intentions of the Company, unless such an obligation arises from the provisions of law.

This Presentation contains information on the paper industry. With the exception of information indicated as originating from a specified source, the market information referred to above has been prepared on the basis of data received from third parties who are named in this Presentation and contains estimates, assessments, corrections and opinions based on the Company's experience and knowledge of the sector in which it conducts business. Because the market information referred to above may have been partially prepared with the use of estimates, assessments, corrections and opinions and has not been verified by independent entities, to a certain extent, this information is of a subjective nature, vith the exception of the information which has been indicated as information originating from third parties from a specified source. It is presumed that estimates, assessments, corrections and opinions are based on reasonable grounds and that the market information which has been prepared duly reflects the situation of the industry on the markets on which the Company conducts business. However, there is no certainty that the estimates, assessments, corrections and opinions are the most appropriate basis for drawing conclusions on the market information or that market information taken from other sources may not differ significantly from the market information contained in this Presentation.

The Company would like to point out to the readers of this Presentation that the only reliable source of data on Arctic Paper's financial results, forecasts and events, as well as the Company's indicators, is the current and periodic reports submitted by the Company in compliance with reporting requirements under Polish law. This Presentation should be read together with the 2010 consolidated and unit report as well as the first half of 2011 and the third quarter of 2011 consolidated report.
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Market environment


- In 3Q 2011 deliveries of fine paper in Europe were approx. 2.5\% lower than in 2Q 2011 while 4.9\% lower than in 3Q 2010. During this period, deliveries in the uncoated wood-free (UMF) segment were down accordingly by $8.2 \%$ and $5.6 \%$, and in the coated wood-free (CWF) segment accordingly up by 4.1\% and down by 4.1\%
- Deliveries of fine paper in three quarters of 2011 were $4.7 \%$ lower than in the corresponding period of 2010. UMF paper deliveries were $4.1 \%$ lower. The CWF segment deliveries were 5,4\% down.
- In 3Q 2011 sales volume was 6.1\% above 2Q 2011 and 1.4\% below the corresponding period of 2010.


## Market environment

- In 3Q 2011 prices of fine paper in Europe, due to the pressure of declining demand, experienced a significant decrease compared to the prices at the end of 2Q of 2011. The average decrease was 1.8\% for UMF and 2.6\% for CWF.
- The average prices for UMF paper at the end of this quarter remained at a level similar to the one at the end of 2010 while CWF paper were on the level lower by app. 4.2\%
- The average prices invoiced by Arctic Paper in EUR for the UMF segment changed from December 2010 to September 2011 within the range from $-3 \%$ to $+1 \%$, while in the CWF segment from $-6 \%$ to $-1 \%$. From June 2011 to September 2011 it was accordingly from -2.5\% to 0.3\% for UMF and from-2.5\% to -0.2\% for CWF.



## $\longrightarrow$ UMF 80 g Offset Sheets DE $\longrightarrow$ CWF 90g Sheets DE

-UMF 80g Offset Reels DE
CWF 90g Reels DE

Change in prices for graphic paper in EUR and GBP - selected Western Europe countries

|  | 09.2011 vs. 06.2011 |  | 09.2011 vs. 12.2010 |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Minimum <br> price | Average <br> price | Maximum <br> price | Minimum <br> price | Average <br> price |
| UWF | $-1,1 \%$ | $-1,8 \%$ | $-2,4 \%$ | $1,1 \%$ | $-0,1 \%$ |

Market environment

Pulp prices (USD/tonne)


- As at the end of 3Q 2011 pulp prices were at USD 958 per tonne for NBSK and USD 789 per tonne for BHKP. The average price for NBSK in 3Q 2011 was 1.7\% higher than in 3Q 2010, and BHKP was down by 7.3\%. In 3Q 2011 average NBSK price was 1.5\% lower and BHKP price was 5.3\% lower than in 2Q 2011.
- The average cost of pulp per tonne for AP Group in 3Q 2011, expressed in PLN, was down by $11.3 \%$ compared to 3 Q 2010 and was up by 4.7\% compared to 2Q 2011. The main reason for the increase was strengthening of USD compared to PLN and SEK.
- The share of pulp costs in total costs of sales for 9 months of 2011 was slightly over 50\% compared to app. 54\% in the corresponding period of 2010.
- Pulp usage for AP Group production was BHKP 58\%, NBSK 20\%, and other 22\%.




## Market environment

Changes in exchange rate EUR and USD vs. PLN


- In 3Q 2011 the average EUR/PLN exchange rate was 4.15 and was higher by 4.8\% than in 2Q 2011 and 3.6\% than in 3Q 2010
- In 3Q 2011 the average USD/PLN exchange rate was 2.94 and $6.9 \%$ higher than in 2Q 2011 and 5.3\% lower than in 3Q 2010
- The weakening PLN compared to EUR had a positive impact on the Kostrzyn mill sales revenues in 3Q 2011, but the changes of USD/PLN pairing caused increase of PLN price for a tonne of pulp.

EUR/PLN



## Market environment

Changes in exchange rate EUR and USD vs. SEK


- In 3Q 2011 the average EURISEK exchange rate was 1.5\% higher than in 2Q 2011 and 2.5\% lover than in 3Q 2010. Despite the positive change compared to $2 Q 2011$, the exchange rate is still lower than in the corresponding period of 2010. This has had a major adverse impact on EUR revenues generated by the mills in Sweden (AP Munkedals and $A P$ Grycksbo).
v In the same period the average USD/SEK exchange rate was $3.4 \%$ higher than in 2Q 2011. This change had an adverse impact on costs incurred in USD by AP Munkedals and AP Grycksbo compared to 2 Q , particularly pulp costs.

EUR/SEK



## Market environment
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## Financial results
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## Financial results

Use of production capacity and sales volume


Financial results

Total and LFL sales revenue (PLN ‘000)


- AP Group sales revenues in 3Q 2011 were PLN 641.4 min, representing increase of $2.3 \%$ compared to 3Q 2010 and 11.1\% compared to 2Q 2011
- YTD revenues after nine moths of 2011 amounted to PLN 1,853 million and were higher by $9.7 \%$ compared to the corresponding period of the previous year.

- Revenue per tonne of paper sold, expressed in PLN, was PLN 3,320 in 3Q 2011 which represents an increase of 4.7\% compared to 2Q 2011 and 3.7\% above 3Q 2010. This result was achieved with a significant positive influence of the EUR/PLN exchange rate (average exchange rate 3Q 2011 vs. 2Q 2011 of $+4.8 \%$ ) and with a moderate positive influence of the EUR/SEK rate (average exchange rate 3Q 2011 vs. 2Q 2011 of $+1.5 \%$ ) .

| PRNooo | $\begin{array}{r} 3 Q \\ 2011 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \mathrm{Q} \\ 2011 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 Q \\ 2010 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{Q} 2011 \mathrm{vs} . \\ & 2 \mathrm{Q} 2011 \\ & \text { change } \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3Q } 2011 \mathrm{vs} . \\ & 3 \mathrm{Q} 2010 \\ & \text { change } \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { YTD } 3 Q \\ 2011 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { YID 3Q } \\ 2010 \end{array}$ | YTD 3Q2011 vs. YTD 3Q2010 change \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | 641415 | 577487 | 627122 | 11,1\% | 2,3\% | 1853534 | 1689429 | 9,7\% |
| Gross profit on sales (W/h D\&A) | 128156 | 99904 | 9867 | 28,3\% | 29,9\% | 311680 | 261920 | 19,0\% |
| \%ofsales | 20,0\% | 17,3\% | 15,7\% | 3 p.p. | 4 p.p. | 16,8\% | 15,5\% | 1 p.p. |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | -30256 | -30219 | -21396 | 0,1\% | 41,4\% | -60475 | -39175 | 54,4\% |
| Gross profit on sales | 97900 | 69685 | 77281 | 40,5\% | 26,7\% | 251205 | 222745 | 12,8\% |
| \%of sales | 15,3\% | 12,1\% | 12,3\% | 3 р.p. | 3 p.p. | 13,6\% | 13,2\% | o p.p. |
| EBITDA | 43003 | 16548 | 25405 | 159,9\% | 69,3\% | 90049 | 59221 | 52,1\% |
| \%ofsales | 6,7\% | 2,9\% | 4,1\% | 4 p.p. | 3 p.p. | 4,9\% | 3,5\% | 1 p.p. |
| EBIT | 10640 | -15190 | 1326 | $-170,0 \%$ | 702,3\% | -5714 | -8196 | -30,3\% |
| \%ofs sales | 1,7\% | -2,6\% | 0,2\% | 4 p.p. | 1 p.p. | -0,3\% | -0,5\% | o p.p. |
| Net profit / loss | 34093 | -41 647 | -6929 | -181,9\% | -592,0\% | -16020 | -6 174 | 159,5\% |
| \%ofsales | 5,3\% | -7,2\% | -1,1\% | 13 p.p. | 6 p.p. | -0,9\% | -0,4\% | -o p.p. |
| Earnings per share [PLN] | 0,62 | -0,75 | -0,13 | n.a. | n.a. | -0,29 | -0,11 | n.a. |

- High sales revenue due mostly to positive impact of exchange rates fluctuations, particularly EUR/PLN.
- Gross profit margin in the quarter and YTD both higher than in 2Q 2011 and 3Q 2010.

EBITDA margin in 3Q 2011 of $6.7 \%$ compared to $2.9 \%$ in 2Q 2011 and $4.1 \%$ in 3Q 2010. 2011 YTD EBITDA margin higher by 1.35 p.p.

- Significantly better operating result in the quarter compared to 2 Q 2011 and 3Q 2010. On YTD basis there is still operating loss but it is lesser than in the corresponding period of the previous year. The loss is partly attributable to higher depreciation caused by revaluation of the acquired assets of Grycksbo in 2010 (influence on 2011 result: PLN - 7.3 million in $3 Q$ and PLN -21.5 million YTD).
- PLN 34 million of net profit in 3Q 2011 compared to PLN 41.7 million loss in 2Q 2011. Major positive result was achieved due to significantly better operational result and to positive exchange differences resulting mainly from valuation of intercompany loan granted by Arctic Paper S.A. to Arctic Paper Investment $A B$ (influence on 2011 result: 1Q PLN +4.6 million, 2Q PLN -8.8 million, 3Q PLN +24,6 million).
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## Summary
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- The demand in the fine paper segment in Europe in 3Q 2011 was lower than in 2Q 2011 (by 2.5\%) and in the corresponding period of 2010 (by 4.9\%). The deliveries level in 3Q 2011 was similar to 3Q 2009.
- Return to high level of the use of production capacity. Use of production capacity in 3Q 2011 of 95\% and of 94\% YTD.
- Decrease of paper market prices in 3Q 2011 compared to price levels at the end of 2Q 2011 and 4Q 2010, particularly in CWF segment.

D Decrease of pulp prices in 3Q 2011. Compared to 2 Q 2011, the average price in the quarter decreased by $1.5 \%$ for NBSK and by 5.3\% for BHKP.

- Positive influence of the exchange rates (EUR/PLN and EUR/SEK pairings) on sales revenues and negative influence of USD/PLN and USD/SEK pairings exchange rates on pulp costs expressed in PLN. Major positive influence of the change of PLNSEKK tendency and, in consequence, positive unrealized exchange differences from intercompany loan valuation occurred.
- Positive operational result and net profit achieved in 3Q 2011. Large part of loss from first half of the current year has been recovered.


## Thank you for your attention
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